

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 203/2021/SCIC

Shri. Vilas Vishwanath Naik,
H.No. 133 (3), Nachnolwada,
Advalpal Post, Assonora-Goa. 403503.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. The Public Information Officer,
Shri. Dattatray G. Parab,
Awal Karkun, Office of Mamlatdar,
Bicholim-Goa.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
Shri. Pravinjay G. Pandit,
Mamlatdar Bicholim Taluka,
Bicholim Goa.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 23/08/2021

Decided on: 07/03/2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Shri. Vilas Vishwanath Naik, H.No. 133 (3), Nachnolwada, Advalpal Post, Assonora-Goa, by his application dated 26/04/2021, filed under sec 6(1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Mamlatdar of Bicholim Taluka at Bicholim-Goa.
2. The PIO by letter No. 1/05/MAM/RTI/95/2021 dated 26/05/2021 declined the request with the reason that information pertaining to Devasthan does not come within the ambit of RTI Act.
3. Not satisfied with the reply of PIO, the Appellant preferred first appeal under section 19(1) of the Act, before the Mamlatdar of Bicholim being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
4. The FAA by its order dated 21/06/2021 allowed the first appeal and directed the PIO to furnish the information pertaining to point No. 2 of the application free of cost to the Appellant within 3 days.

5. Since the PIO failed to comply with the order of FAA and provided incomplete and partial information, the Appellant landed before the Commission by this second appeal under section 19(3) of the Act.
6. Notice was issued to the parties, pursuant to which PIO Mr. Dattatray Parab appeared and filed his reply on 08/12/2021, representative of FAA appeared but opted not to file any reply in the matter.
7. During the course of hearing today i.e on 01/03/2022, the PIO Shri. Dattatray Parab appeared and submitted that he has already furnished the information to the Appellant on 15/11/2021 and produced on record the copy of letter dated 15/11/2021 having acknowledgement of the Appellant.
8. It is pertinent to note that the Appellant, after receiving the information has remained absent on all subsequent hearings i.e on 08/12/2021, 19/01/2022, 01/03/2022 and 07/03/2022, I therefore presume that, the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO.
9. In view of acknowledgement of the Appellant dated 15/11/2021 produced by the PIO, the matter is disposed off.
 - Proceedings closed.
 - Pronounced in the open court.
 - Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner